

Appln No. 10/611,353

Reply to Office action of June 3, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Office Action dated June 3, 2004, has been reviewed and the comments carefully considered.

In the Office action, various issues and questions are raised concerning the description of the variety. By the amendments in the accompanying Substitute Specification, Applicant has made a bona fide effort to address all issues and questions. With regard to Paragraph A of the Office Action, Applicant respectfully submits that *Senecio heritierii* is correct as a species designation (please see Plant Directory for the New York Botanical Gardens internet site, a copy of the relevant page is attached).

In view of the foregoing amendment and response, it is believed that the application is in condition for allowance and, accordingly, reconsideration and allowance is earnestly solicited.

If any questions remain regarding the allowability of the application, Applicant would appreciate if the Examiner would advise the undersigned by telephone.

Appln No. 10/611,353

Reply to Office action of June 3, 2004

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required by this paper to Deposit Account No. 03-1728. Please show our docket number with any charge or credit to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

By Cynthia A. Bonner
Cynthia A. Bonner
Reg. No. 44,548
626/795-9900

CAB/tt

TXT IRV1079796.1--09/28/04 11:24 AM

Appln No. 10/611,353

Reply to Office action of June 3, 2004

Amendments to the Drawings:

As requested by the Examiner, replacement sheets for the illustrations of the new variety are attached. These sheets replaces the original sheets.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets